



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/505,158

08/20/2004

Kenji Mori

MUR-041-USA-PCT

5704

7590
TOWNSEND & BANTA
c/o PortfolioliP
P.O. Box 52050
Minneapolis, MN 55402

12/16/2008

EXAMINER

HOLMES, REX R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3762

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/16/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/505,158	Applicant(s) MORI ET AL.	
	Examiner REX HOLMES	Art Unit 3762	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) REX HOLMES. (3) ____.

(2) Donald E. Townsend. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 08 December 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Grossman et al. (U.S. Pat. 4,979,517).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: General Discussion of the claims and the prior art. A proposed amendment was shown wherein the dielectric was made from a polyester based resin. It was agreed that the amendment included a limitation that was not in the Grossman reference. It was suggested to insert a dependent claim with the specific structure of the electrode. The applicant requested reconsideration of the 1.132 declaration filed 5/22/08.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/R. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 3762	/Angela D Sykes/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3762
------------------------------------	--